

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.

ROGER JASON STONE, JR.,

- a. \* CRIMINAL NO. \*
- b. \* Grand Jury Original \*
- c. \* 18 U.S.C. §§ 1001, 1505, 1512, 2 \*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Defendant. \* \* \* \*

\*\*\*\*\*

## **INDICTMENT**

The Grand Jury for the District of Columbia charges:

### **Introduction**

1. By in or around May 2016, the Democratic National Committee (“DNC”) and the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (“DCCC”) became aware that their computer systems had been compromised by unauthorized intrusions and hired a security company (“Company 1”) to identify the extent of the intrusions.

2. On or about June 14, 2016, the DNC—through Company 1—publicly announced that it had been hacked by Russian government actors. 3. From in or around July 2016 through in or around November 2016, an organization (“WikiLeaks”), which had previously posted documents stolen by others from U.S. persons, entities, and the U.S. government, released tens of thousands of documents stolen from the DNC and the personal email account of the chairman of the U.S. presidential campaign of Hillary Clinton (“Clinton Campaign”).

---

e. On or about July 22, 2016, WikiLeaks released documents stolen from the DNC.

f. Between on or about October 7, 2016 and on or about November 7, 2016, WikiLeaks released approximately 33 tranches of documents that had been stolen from the personal email account of the Clinton Campaign chairman, totaling over 50,000 stolen documents.

4. ROGER JASON STONE, JR. was a political consultant who worked for decades in U.S. politics and on U.S. political campaigns. STONE was an official on the U.S. presidential campaign of Donald J. Trump (“Trump Campaign”) until in or around August 2015, and maintained regular contact with and publicly supported the Trump Campaign through the 2016 election.

5. During the summer of 2016, STONE spoke to senior Trump Campaign officials about WikiLeaks and information it might have had that would be damaging to the Clinton Campaign. STONE was contacted by senior Trump Campaign officials to inquire about future releases by WikiLeaks.

6. By in or around early August 2016, STONE was claiming both publicly and privately to have communicated with WikiLeaks. By in or around mid-August 2016, WikiLeaks made a public statement denying direct communication with STONE. Thereafter, STONE said that his communication with WikiLeaks had occurred through a person STONE described as a “mutual friend,” “go-between,” and “intermediary.” STONE also continued to communicate with members of the Trump Campaign about WikiLeaks and its intended future releases.

7. After the 2016 U.S. presidential election, the U.S. House of Representatives Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (“HPSCI”), the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (“SSCI”), and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”) opened or announced their respective

2

investigations into Russian interference in the 2016 U.S. presidential election, which included investigating STONE’s claims of contact with WikiLeaks. 8. In response, STONE took steps to obstruct these investigations. Among other steps to obstruct the investigations, STONE:

a. Made multiple false statements to HPSCI about his interactions regarding

WikiLeaks, and falsely denied possessing records that contained evidence of these interactions; and

- b. Attempted to persuade a witness to provide false testimony to and withhold pertinent information from the investigations. **Other Relevant Individuals**

9. **Jerome Corsi** was a political commentator who worked with an online media publication during the 2016 U.S. presidential campaign. **Jerome Corsi** spoke regularly with STONE throughout the campaign, including about the release of stolen documents by Organization

1. 10. **Randy Credico** was a radio host who had known STONE for more than a decade. In testimony before HPSCI on or about September 26, 2017, STONE described **Randy Credico** (without naming him) as an “intermediary,” “go-between,” and “mutual friend” to the head of WikiLeaks. In a follow-up letter to HPSCI dated October 13, 2017, STONE identified **Randy Credico** by name and claimed **Randy Credico** was the “gentleman who confirmed for Mr. Stone” that the head of WikiLeaks had “[e]mails related to Hillary Clinton which are pending publication.”

## **Background**

STONE’s Communications About WikiLeaks During the Campaign 11. By in or around June and July 2016, STONE informed senior Trump Campaign officials that he had information indicating WikiLeaks had documents whose release would be

---

3

damaging to the Clinton Campaign. The head of WikiLeaks was located at all relevant times at the Ecuadorian Embassy in London, United Kingdom. 12. After the July 22, 2016 release of stolen DNC emails by WikiLeaks, a senior Trump Campaign official was directed to contact STONE about any additional releases and what other damaging information WikiLeaks had regarding the Clinton Campaign. STONE thereafter told the Trump Campaign about potential future releases of damaging material by WikiLeaks. 13. STONE also

corresponded with associates about contacting WikiLeaks in order to obtain additional emails damaging to the Clinton Campaign.

- a. On or about July 25, 2016, STONE sent an email to Jerome Corsi with the subject line, "Get to [the head of WikiLeaks]." The body of the message read, "Get to [the head of WikiLeaks] [a]t Ecuadorian Embassy in London and get the pending [WikiLeaks] emails . . . they deal with Foundation, allegedly." On or about the same day, Jerome Corsi forwarded STONE's email to an associate who lived in the United Kingdom and was a supporter of the Trump Campaign.
- b. On or about July 31, 2016, STONE emailed Jerome Corsi with the subject line, "Call me MON." The body of the email read in part that Jerome Corsi's associate in the United Kingdom "should see [the head of WikiLeaks]."
- c. On or about August 2, 2016, Jerome Corsi emailed STONE. Jerome Corsi wrote that he was currently in Europe and planned to return in or around mid-August. Jerome Corsi stated in part, "Word is friend in embassy plans 2 more dumps. One shortly after I'm back. 2nd in Oct. Impact planned to be very damaging." The phrase "friend in embassy" referred to the head of WikiLeaks. Jerome Corsi added in the same email, "Time to let more than [the Clinton Campaign chairman] to be exposed as in bed w

4

enemy if they are not ready to drop HRC. That appears to be the game hackers are now about. Would not hurt to start suggesting HRC old, memory bad, has stroke – neither he nor she well. I expect that much of next dump focus, setting stage for Foundation debacle."

14. Starting in early August 2016, after receiving the August 2, 2016 email from Jerome Corsi, STONE made repeated statements about information he claimed to have learned from the head of WikiLeaks.

a. On or about August 8, 2016, STONE attended a public event at which he

stated, “I actually have communicated with [the head of WikiLeaks]. I believe the next tranche of his documents pertain to the Clinton Foundation, but there’s no telling what the October surprise may be.”

- b. On or about August 12, 2016, STONE stated during an interview that he was “in communication with [the head of WikiLeaks]” but was “not at liberty to discuss what I have.”
- c. On or about August 16, 2016, STONE stated during an interview that “it became known on this program that I have had some back-channel communication with [WikiLeaks] and [the head of WikiLeaks].” In a second interview on or about the same day, STONE stated that he “communicated with [the head of WikiLeaks]” and that they had a “mutual acquaintance who is a fine gentleman.”
- d. On or about August 18, 2016, STONE stated during a television interview that he had communicated with the head of WikiLeaks through an “intermediary, somebody who is a mutual friend.”

5

e. On or about August 23, 2016, Randy Credico asked STONE during a radio interview, “You’ve been in touch indirectly with [the head of WikiLeaks]. . . . Can you give us any kind of insight? Is there an October surprise happening?” STONE responded, “Well, first of all, I don’t want to intimate in any way that I control or have influence with [the head of WikiLeaks] because I do not. . . . We have a mutual friend, somebody we both trust and therefore I am a recipient of pretty good information.”

15. Beginning on or about August 19, 2016, STONE exchanged written communications, including by text message and email, with Randy Credico about WikiLeaks and what the head of WikiLeaks planned to do.

- a. On or about August 19, 2016, Randy Credico sent a text message to STONE that read in part, “I’m going to have [the head of WikiLeaks] on my show next Thursday.” On or about August 21, 2016, Randy Credico sent another text message to STONE, writing in part, “I have [the head of

WikiLeaks] on Thursday so I'm completely tied up on that day.”

- b. On or about August 25, 2016, the head of WikiLeaks was a guest on Randy Credico's radio show for the first time. On or about August 26, 2016, Randy Credico sent a text message to STONE that stated, “[the head of WikiLeaks] talk[ed] about you last night.” STONE asked what the head of WikiLeaks said, to which Randy Credico responded, “He didn't say anything bad we were talking about how the Press is trying to make it look like you and he are in cahoots.”
- c. On or about August 27, 2016, Randy Credico sent text messages to STONE that said, “We are working on a [head of WikiLeaks] radio show,” and that he (Randy Credico) was

6

“in charge” of the project. In a text message sent later that day, Randy Credico added,

“[The head of WikiLeaks] has kryptonite on Hillary.”

- d. On or about September 18, 2016, STONE sent a text message to Randy Credico that said, “I am e-mailing u a request to pass on to [the head of WikiLeaks].” Randy Credico responded “Ok,” and added in a later text message, “[j]ust remember do not name me as your connection to [the head of WikiLeaks] you had one before that you referred to.”
- i. On or about the same day, September 18, 2016, STONE emailed Randy Credico an article with allegations against then-candidate Clinton related to her service as Secretary of State. STONE stated, “Please ask [the head of WikiLeaks] for any State or HRC e-mail from August 10 to August 30—particularly on August 20, 2011 that mention [the subject of the article] or confirm this narrative.”
- ii. On or about September 19, 2016, STONE texted Randy Credico again, writing, “Pass my message . . . to [the head of WikiLeaks].” Randy Credico responded, “I did.” On or about September 20, 2016,

Randy Credico forwarded the request to a friend who was an attorney with the ability to contact the head of WikiLeaks. Randy Credico blind-copied STONE on the forwarded email.

e. On or about September 30, 2016, Randy Credico sent STONE via text message a photograph of Randy Credico standing outside the Ecuadorian Embassy in London where the head of WikiLeaks was located.

7

f. On or about October 1, 2016, which was a Saturday, Randy Credico sent STONE text messages that stated, “big news Wednesday . . . now pretend u don’t know me . . . Hillary’s campaign will die this week.” In the days preceding these messages, the press had reported that the head of WikiLeaks planned to make a public announcement on or about Tuesday, October 4, 2016, which was reported to be the ten-year anniversary of the founding of WikiLeaks.

g. On or about October 2, 2016, STONE emailed Randy Credico, with the subject line “WTF?,” a link to an article reporting that WikiLeaks was canceling its “highly anticipated Tuesday announcement due to security concerns.” Randy Credico responded to STONE, “head fake.”

h. On or about the same day, October 2, 2016, STONE texted Randy Credico and asked, “Did [the head of WikiLeaks] back off.” On or about October 3, 2016, Randy Credico initially responded, “I can’t tal[k] about it.” After further exchanges with STONE, Randy Credico said, “I think it[’]s on for tomorrow.” Randy Credico added later that day, “Off the Record Hillary and her people are doing a full-court press they [sic] keep [the head of WikiLeaks] from making the next dump . . . That’s all I can tell you on this line . . . Please leave my name out of it.”

16. In or around October 2016, STONE made statements about WikiLeaks’s future releases, including statements similar to those that Randy Credico made to him. For example:

a. On or about October 3, 2016, STONE wrote to a supporter involved with the

Trump Campaign, “Spoke to my friend in London last night. The payload is still coming.”

- b. Also on or about October 3, 2016, STONE received an email from a reporter who had connections to a high-ranking Trump Campaign official that asked, “[the head

8

of WikiLeaks] – what’s he got? Hope it’s good.” STONE responded in part, “It is. I’d tell [the high-ranking Trump Campaign official] but he doesn’t call me back.”

- c. On or about October 4, 2016, the head of WikiLeaks held a press conference but did not release any new materials pertaining to the Clinton Campaign. Shortly afterwards, STONE received an email from the high-ranking Trump Campaign official asking about the status of future releases by WikiLeaks. STONE answered that the head of WikiLeaks had a “[s]erious security concern” but that WikiLeaks would release “a load every week going forward.”

- d. Later that day, on or about October 4, 2016, the supporter involved with the Trump Campaign asked STONE via text message if he had “hear[d] anymore from London.” STONE replied, “Yes - want to talk on a secure line - got Whatsapp?” STONE subsequently told the supporter that more material would be released and that it would be damaging to the Clinton Campaign.

17. On or about October 7, 2016, WikiLeaks released the first set of emails stolen from the Clinton Campaign chairman. Shortly after WikiLeaks’s release, an associate of the high- ranking Trump Campaign official sent a text message to STONE that read “well done.” In subsequent conversations with senior Trump Campaign officials, STONE claimed credit for having correctly predicted the October 7, 2016 release.

The Investigations 18. In or around 2017, government officials publicly disclosed investigations into Russian interference in the 2016 U.S. presidential election and possible links to individuals associated with

the campaigns.



- a. On or about January 13, 2017, the chairman and vice chairman of SSCI announced the committee would conduct an inquiry that would investigate, among other things, any intelligence regarding links between Russia and individuals associated with political campaigns, as well as Russian cyber activity and other “active measures” directed against the United States in connection with the 2016 election.
- b. On or about January 25, 2017, the chairman and ranking member of HPSCI announced that HPSCI had been conducting an inquiry similar to SSCI’s.
- c. On or about March 20, 2017, the then-director of the FBI testified at a HPSCI hearing and publicly disclosed that the FBI was investigating Russian interference in the 2016 election and possible links and coordination between the Trump Campaign and the Russian government.
- d. By in or around August 2017, news reports stated that a federal grand jury had opened an investigation into matters relating to Russian government efforts to interfere in the 2016 election, including possible links and coordination between the Trump Campaign and the Russian government. **STONE’s False Testimony to HPSCI**

- a. \_\_\_\_\_  
19. In before the committee and produce:

or around May 2017, HPSCI sent a letter requesting that STONE voluntarily appear

Any documents, records, electronically stored information including e-mail, communication, recordings, data and tangible things (including, but not limited to, graphs, charts, photographs, images and other documents) regardless of form, other than those widely available (e.g., newspaper articles) that reasonably could lead to the discovery of any facts within the investigation’s publicly- announced parameters.

On or about May 22, 2017, STONE caused a letter to be submitted to HPSCI stating that “Mr.

10

Stone has no documents, records, or electronically stored information, regardless of form, other than those widely available that reasonably could lead to the discovery of any facts within the investigation’s publicly-announced parameters.” 20. On or about September 26, 2017, STONE testified before HPSCI in Washington, D.C. as part of the committee’s ongoing investigation. In his opening statement, STONE stated, “These hearings are largely based on a yet unproven allegation that the Russian state is responsible for the hacking of the DNC and [the Clinton Campaign chairman] and the transfer of that information to [WikiLeaks].” STONE further stated that “[m]embers of this Committee” had made certain “assertions against me which must be rebutted here today,” which included “[t]he charge that I knew in advance about, and predicted, the hacking of Clinton campaign chairman[’s] email, [and] that I had advanced knowledge of the source or actual content of the [WikiLeaks] disclosures regarding Hillary Clinton.”

21. In the course of his HPSCI testimony, STONE made deliberately false and misleading statements to the committee concerning, among other things, his possession of documents pertinent to HPSCI’s investigation; the source for his early August 2016 statements about WikiLeaks; requests he made for information from the head of WikiLeaks; his communications with his identified intermediary; and his communications with the Trump Campaign about WikiLeaks.

STONE’s False and Misleading Testimony About His Possession of Documents Pertinent to HPSCI’s Investigation

22. During his HPSCI testimony, STONE was asked, “So you have no emails to anyone concerning the allegations of hacked documents . . . or any discussions you have had with third parties about [the head of WikiLeaks]? You have no emails, no texts, no documents whatsoever, any kind of that nature?” STONE falsely and misleadingly answered, “That is correct.

---

Not to my knowledge.”

23. In truth and in fact, STONE had sent and received numerous emails and text messages during the 2016 campaign in which he discussed WikiLeaks, its head, and its possession of hacked emails. At the time of his false testimony, STONE was still in possession of many of these emails and text messages, including:

- a. The email from STONE to **Jerome Corsi** on or about July 25, 2016 that read in part, “Get to [the head of WikiLeaks] [a]t Ecuadorian Embassy in London and get the pending [WikiLeaks] emails . . . they deal with Foundation, allegedly.”;
- b. The email from STONE to **Jerome Corsi** on or about July 31, 2016 that said an associate of **Jerome Corsi** “should see [the head of WikiLeaks].”;
- c. The email from **Jerome Corsi** to STONE on or about August 2, 2016 that stated in part, “Word is friend in embassy plans 2 more dumps. One shortly after I’m back. 2nd in Oct. Impact planned to be very damaging.”;
- d. Dozens of text messages and emails, beginning on or about August 19, 2016 and continuing through the election, between STONE and **Randy Credico** in which they discussed WikiLeaks and the head of WikiLeaks;
- e. The email from STONE on or about October 3, 2016 to the supporter involved with the Trump Campaign, which read in part, “Spoke to my friend in London last night. The payload is still coming.”; and
- f. The emails on or about October 4, 2016 between STONE and the high-ranking member of the Trump Campaign, including STONE’s statement that WikiLeaks would release “a load every week going forward.”

24. By falsely claiming that he had no emails or text messages in his

possession that referred to the head of WikiLeaks, STONE avoided providing a basis for HPSCI to subpoena records in his possession that could have shown that other aspects of his testimony were false and misleading.

STONE's False and Misleading Testimony About His Early August 2016 Statements 25. During his HPSCI testimony on or about September 26, 2017, STONE was asked to explain his statements in early August 2016 about being in contact with the head of Organization

1. STONE was specifically asked about his statement on or about August 8, 2016 that "I've actually communicated with [the head of WikiLeaks]," as well as his statement on or about August 12, 2016 that he was "in communication with [the head of WikiLeaks]" but was "not at liberty to discuss what I have."

26. STONE responded that his public references to having a means of contacting WikiLeaks referred exclusively to his contact with a journalist, who STONE described as a "go-between, as an intermediary, as a mutual friend" of the head of WikiLeaks. STONE stated that he asked this individual, his intermediary, "to confirm what [the head of WikiLeaks] ha[d] tweeted, himself, on July 21st, that he ha[d] the Clinton emails and that he [would] publish them." STONE further stated that the intermediary "was someone I knew had interviewed [the head of WikiLeaks]. And I merely wanted confirmation of what he had tweeted on the 21st." STONE declined to tell HPSCI the name of this "intermediary" but provided a description in his testimony that was consistent with **Randy Credico**.

27. On or about October 13, 2017, STONE caused a letter to be submitted to HPSCI that identified **Randy Credico** by name as the "gentleman who confirmed for Mr. Stone" that the head of WikiLeaks had "[e]mails related to Hillary Clinton which are pending publication."

---

13

28. STONE's explanation of his August 2016 statements about communicating with the head of WikiLeaks was false and misleading. In truth and in fact, the first time **Randy Credico** interviewed the head of WikiLeaks was on or about August 25, 2016, after STONE made his August 8 and August 12, 2016 public

statements. Similarly, at the time STONE made his August 2016 statements, STONE had directed Jerome Corsi—not Randy Credico—to contact the head of WikiLeaks. And Jerome Corsi—not Randy Credico—had told STONE in advance of STONE’s August 8 and August 12, 2016 public statements that “[w]ord is friend in embassy plans 2 more dumps,” including one in October. At no time did STONE identify Jerome Corsi to HPSCI as another individual STONE contacted to serve as a “go-between,” “intermediary,” or other source of information from WikiLeaks. STONE also never disclosed his exchanges with Jerome Corsi when answering HPSCI’s questioning about STONE’s August 8 and August 12, 2016 statements.

#### STONE’s False and Misleading Testimony About Requests He Made for Information from the Head of WikiLeaks

29. During his HPSCI testimony, STONE was asked, “[W]hat was the extent of the communication with [the intermediary]?” STONE replied, “I asked him to confirm . . . that the tweet of [the head of WikiLeaks] of the 21st was accurate, that they did in fact have . . . Hillary Clinton emails and that they would release them.” STONE was then asked, “Did you ask [the intermediary] to communicate anything else to [the head of WikiLeaks]?” STONE falsely and misleadingly responded, “I did not.” STONE was then asked, “Did you ask [the intermediary] to do anything on your own behalf?” STONE falsely and misleadingly responded, “I did not.”

30. In truth and in fact, STONE directed both Jerome Corsi and Randy Credico to pass on requests to the head of WikiLeaks for documents that STONE believed would be damaging to the Clinton Campaign. For example:

a. As described above, on or about July 25, 2016, STONE sent Jerome Corsi an email that

14

---

read, “Get to [the head of WikiLeaks] [a]t Ecuadorian Embassy in London and get the pending [WikiLeaks] emails . . . they deal with Foundation, allegedly.”

b. On or about September 18, 2016, STONE sent a text message to Randy

Credico that said, “I am e-mailing u a request to pass on to [the head of WikiLeaks],” and then emailed Randy Credico an article with allegations against then-candidate Clinton related to her service as Secretary of State. STONE added, “Please ask [the head of WikiLeaks] for any State or HRC e-mail from August 10 to August 30— particularly on August 20, 2011 that mention [the subject of the article] or confirm this narrative.”

c. On or about September 19, 2016, STONE texted Randy Credico again, writing “Pass my message . . . to [the head of WikiLeaks].” Randy Credico responded, “I did,” and the next day Randy Credico, on an email blind-copied to STONE, forwarded the request to an attorney who had the ability to contact the head of WikiLeaks.

#### STONE’s False and Misleading Testimony About Communications with His Identified Intermediary

---

31. with the person he identified as his intermediary. STONE falsely and misleadingly stated that he had never communicated with his intermediary in writing in any way. During one exchange, STONE falsely and misleadingly claimed only to have spoken with the intermediary telephonically:

Q: [H]ow did you communicate with the intermediary?

A: Over the phone.

Q: And did you have any other means of communicating with the intermediary?

A: No. Q: No text messages, no – none of the list, right?

During his HPSCI testimony, STONE was asked repeatedly about his communications

15

A: No. Later during his testimony, STONE again falsely denied ever communicating with his intermediary in writing:

Q: So you never communicated with your intermediary in writing in any way?

A: No.

Q: Never emailed him or texted him?

A: He's not an email guy.

Q: So all your conversations with him were in person or over the phone.

A: Correct.

32. In truth and in fact, as described above, STONE and Randy Credico (who STONE identified to HPSCI as his intermediary) engaged in frequent written communication by email and text message. STONE also engaged in frequent written communication by email and text message with Jerome Corsi, who also provided STONE with information regarding WikiLeaks.

33. Written communications between STONE and Jerome Corsi and between STONE and Randy Credico continued through STONE's HPSCI testimony. Indeed, on or about September 26, 2017—the day that STONE testified before HPSCI and denied having ever sent or received emails or text messages from Randy Credico—STONE and Randy Credico exchanged over thirty text messages. 34. Certain electronic messages between STONE and Jerome Corsi and between STONE and Randy Credico would have been material to HPSCI. For example:

- a. In or around July 2016, STONE emailed Jerome Corsi to “get to” the head of WikiLeaks and obtain the pending emails.
- b. In or around September 2016, STONE sent messages directing Randy Credico to pass a request to the head of WikiLeaks.
- c. On or about January 6, 2017, Randy Credico sent STONE an email that had the subject 16

line “Back channel bs.” In the email, Randy Credico wrote, “Well I have put together timelines[] and you [] said you have a back-channel way back a month before I had [the head of WikiLeaks] on my show . . . I have never had a conversation with [the head of WikiLeaks] other than my radio show . . . I have

pieced it all together . . . so you may as well tell the truth that you had no back-channel or there's the guy you were talking about early August."

STONE's False and Misleading Testimony About Communications with the Trump Campaign 35. During his HPSCI testimony, STONE was asked, "did you discuss your conversations with the intermediary with anyone involved in the Trump campaign?" STONE falsely and misleadingly answered, "I did not." In truth and in fact, and as described above, STONE spoke to multiple individuals involved in the Trump Campaign about what he claimed to have learned from his intermediary to WikiLeaks, including the following:

- a. On multiple occasions, STONE told senior Trump Campaign officials about materials possessed by WikiLeaks and the timing of future releases.
- b. On or about October 3, 2016, STONE wrote to a supporter involved with the Trump Campaign, "Spoke to my friend in London last night. The payload is still coming."
- c. On or about October 4, 2016, STONE told a high-ranking Trump Campaign official that the head of WikiLeaks had a "[s]erious security concern" but would release "a load every week going forward."

### **Attempts to Prevent Randy Credico from Contradicting STONE's False Statements to HPSCI**

36. On or about October 19, 2017, STONE sent **Randy Credico** an excerpt of his letter to HPSCI that identified **Randy Credico** as his "intermediary" to WikiLeaks. STONE urged **Randy Credico**, if asked by HPSCI, to falsely confirm what STONE had previously testified to, including that it was **Randy Credico**

who provided STONE with the basis for STONE's early August 2016 statements about contact with WikiLeaks. **Randy Credico** repeatedly told STONE that his testimony was false and told him to correct his testimony to HPSCI. STONE did not do so. STONE then engaged in a prolonged effort to prevent Randy Credico



from contradicting STONE's false statements to HPSCI.

37. In or around November 2017, Randy Credico received a request from HPSCI to testify voluntarily before the committee. After being contacted by HPSCI, Randy Credico spoke and texted repeatedly with STONE. In these discussions, STONE sought to have Randy Credico testify falsely either that Randy Credico was the identified intermediary or that Randy Credico could not remember what he had told STONE. Alternatively, STONE sought to have Randy Credico invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination. For example:

- a. On or about November 19, 2017, in a text message to STONE, Randy Credico said that his lawyer wanted to see him (Randy Credico). STONE responded, "Stonewall it. Plead the fifth. Anything to save the plan' . . . Richard Nixon." On or about November 20, 2017, Randy Credico informed HPSCI that he declined HPSCI's request for a voluntary interview.
- b. On or about November 21, 2017, Randy Credico texted STONE, "I was told that the house committee lawyer told my lawyer that I will be getting a subpoena." STONE responded, "That was the point at which your lawyers should have told them you would assert your 5th Amendment rights if compelled to appear."
- c. On or about November 28, 2017, Randy Credico received a subpoena compelling his testimony before HPSCI. Randy Credico informed STONE of the subpoena.
- d. On or about November 30, 2017, STONE asked Jerome Corsi to write publicly about Randy Credico. Jerome Corsi responded, "Are you sure you want to make something out of

18

this now? Why not wait to see what [Randy Credico] does. You may be defending yourself too much—raising new questions that will fuel new inquiries. This may be a time to say less, not more." STONE responded by telling Jerome Corsi that Randy Credico "will take the 5th—but let's hold a

day.”

e. On multiple occasions, including on or about December 1, 2017, STONE told Randy Credico that Randy Credico should do a “Frank Pentangeli” before HPSCI in order to avoid contradicting STONE’s testimony. Frank Pentangeli is a character in the film *The Godfather: Part II*, which both STONE and Randy Credico had discussed, who testifies before a congressional committee and in that testimony claims not to know critical information that he does in fact know.

f. On or about December 1, 2017, STONE texted Randy Credico, “And if you turned over anything to the FBI you’re a fool.” Later that day, Randy Credico texted STONE, “You need to amend your testimony before I testify on the 15th.” STONE responded, “If you testify you’re a fool. Because of trump I could never get away with a certain [sic] my Fifth Amendment rights but you can. I guarantee you you are the one who gets indicted for perjury if you’re stupid enough to testify.”

38. On or about December 12, 2017, Randy Credico informed HPSCI that he intended to assert his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination if required to appear by subpoena. Randy Credico invoked his Fifth Amendment privilege in part to avoid providing evidence that would show STONE’s previous testimony to Congress was false.

39. Following Randy Credico’s invocation of his Fifth Amendment privilege not to testify before HPSCI, STONE and Randy Credico continued to have discussions about the various investigations into Russian interference in the 2016 election and what information Randy Credico would provide to

19

investigators. During these conversations, STONE repeatedly made statements intended to prevent Randy Credico from cooperating with the investigations. For example:

a. On or about December 24, 2017, Randy Credico texted STONE, “I met [the head of WikiLeaks] for [f]irst time this yea[r] sept 7 . . . docs prove that . . . . You should be honest w fbi . . . there was no back channel . . . be

honest.” STONE replied approximately two minutes later, “I’m not talking to the FBI and if your smart you won’t either.”

- b. On or about April 9, 2018, STONE wrote in an email to Randy Credico, “You are a rat. A stoolie. You backstab your friends-run your mouth my lawyers are dying Rip you to shreds.” STONE also said he would “take that dog away from you,” referring to Randy Credico’s dog. On or about the same day, STONE wrote to Randy Credico, “I am so ready. Let’s get it on. Prepare to die [expletive].”
- c. On or about May 21, 2018, Randy Credico wrote in an email to STONE, “You should have just been honest with the house Intel committee . . . you’ve opened yourself up to perjury charges like an idiot.” STONE responded, “You are so full of [expletive]. You got nothing. Keep running your mouth and I’ll file a bar complaint against your friend [the attorney who had the ability to contact the head of WikiLeaks].”

20

#### **COUNT ONE (Obstruction of Proceeding)**

40. Paragraphs 1 through 39 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. 41. From in or around May 2017 through at least December 2017, within the District of Columbia and elsewhere, the defendant ROGER JASON STONE, JR., corruptly influenced, obstructed, impeded, and endeavored to influence, obstruct, and impede the due and proper exercise of the power of inquiry under which any inquiry and investigation is being had by either House, and any committee of either House and any joint committee of the Congress, to wit: STONE testified falsely and misleadingly at a HPSCI hearing in or around September 2017; STONE failed to turn over and lied about the existence of responsive records to HPSCI’s requests about documents; STONE submitted and caused to be submitted a letter to HPSCI falsely and misleadingly describing communications with Randy Credico; and STONE attempted to have Randy Credico testify falsely before HPSCI or prevent him from testifying.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1505 and 2.

**COUNTS TWO THROUGH SIX (False Statements)**

42. Paragraphs 1 through 39 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. 43. On or about September 26, 2017, within the District of Columbia and elsewhere, in a matter within the jurisdiction of the legislative branch of the Government of the United States, the defendant ROGER JASON STONE, JR., knowingly and willfully made and caused to be made materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statements and representations, to wit:

<b>Count</b>	<b>False Statement</b>
<b>2</b>	STONE testified falsely parties about the head any documents, emails of WikiLeaks.
<b>3</b>	STONE testified falsely in contact with the head communications with : and “intermediary,” wh
<b>4</b>	STONE testified falsely referred to as his “go-b “intermediary,” to com WikiLeaks and did not STONE’s behalf.
<b>5</b>	STONE testified falsely his “go-between,” “mut

	communicate via text 1
6	STONE testified falsely conversations with the between,” “mutual

22

Count	False Statement
	friend,” and “intermedi Campaign.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1001(a)(2) and 2.

**COUNT SEVEN (Witness Tampering)**

44. Paragraphs 1 through 39 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. 45. Between in or around September 2017 and present, within the District of Columbia and elsewhere, the defendant ROGER JASON STONE, JR., knowingly and intentionally corruptly persuaded and attempted to corruptly persuade another person, to wit: **Randy Credico**, with intent to influence, delay, and prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1512(b)(1).

\_\_\_\_\_

A TRUE BILL:

\_\_\_\_\_ Foreperson

23

\_\_\_\_\_ Robert S. Mueller, III Special Counsel U.S.  
Department of Justice

Date: January 24, 2019

24